

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA

No. 2:23-cv-02214-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02217-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02218-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02219-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02220-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02221-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02222-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02223-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02224-TLN-KJN

No. 2:23-cv-02225-TLN-KJN

ORDER


Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in Alameda County. (*See Bonilla v. Fresno County*, Case No. 2:18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.

13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of the Court to open a new case for each attempted new case pleading and assign it to Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman for review. (*Id.* at ECF No. 26). If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (*Id.*)

The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

Accordingly, the complaints/petitions in Case Nos. 2:23-cv-02214, 2:23-cv-02217, 2:23-cv-02218, 2:23-cv-02219, 2:23-cv-02220, 2:23-cv-02221, 2:23-cv-02222, 2:23-cv-02223, 2:23-cv-02224 and 2:23-cv-02225 are hereby DISMISSED. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases. No further filings will be accepted.

Date: October 24, 2023


Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge

¹ The captions of the complaints filed in 2:23-cv-02217, 2:23-cv-02218, 2:23-cv-02219, 2:23-cv-02220, 2:23-cv-02223, 2:23-cv-02224, and 2:23-cv-02225 identify the Tehama County Superior Court as the court where plaintiff intended to file these cases. The caption of the complaint filed in 2:23-cv-02219 identifies the the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals as the intended court. The caption of the complaint filed in 2:23-cv-02221 identifies the Yolo County Superior Court as the intended court. The complaints in all of these cases are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction. To the extent Plaintiff intended to file these complaints in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, they are dismissed pursuant to the amended vexatious litigant order. (ECF No. 26.)